

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

ASHLEY PIERRELOUIS, Individually and  
on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOGO INC., MICHAEL J. SMALL,  
NORMAN SMAGLEY, BARRY ROWAN,  
and JOHN WADE,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 18-cv-04473

Honorable Jorge L. Alonso

**ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND  
REIMBURSEMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES**

This matter came on for hearing on August 30, 2022 (the “Settlement Hearing”) on Lead Counsel’s motion for an award of attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was provided to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort; and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *Investor’s Business Daily* and was transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the award of attorneys’ fees and Litigation Expenses requested,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated April 12, 2022 (ECF No. 150-1) (the “Stipulation”) and all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the action and all Parties to this Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(7)), due process, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Lead Counsel is hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_% of the Settlement Fund (including interest earned thereon at the same rate as the Settlement Fund) and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ in reimbursement of counsel's out-of-pocket litigation expenses (which fees and expenses shall be paid from the Settlement Fund), which sums the Court finds to be fair and reasonable.

5. In making this award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund, the Court has considered and found that:

- a. The Settlement has created a fund of \$17,300,000 in cash that has been funded into escrow pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, and that numerous Settlement Class Members who submit acceptable Claim Forms will benefit from the Settlement that occurred because of the efforts of Plaintiff's Counsel;
- b. At least 21,851 copies of the Notice were mailed to potential Settlement Class Members and nominees stating that Lead Counsel would apply for attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 33½% of the Settlement Fund and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed \$350,000, which may include \$20,000 for reimbursement of the reasonable costs and expenses incurred by Lead

Plaintiff. There were no objections to the requested attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses;

- c. Plaintiff's Counsel conducted the litigation and achieved the Settlement with skill, perseverance and diligent advocacy, and they are highly experienced in the field of securities class action litigation;
- d. The Action involves complex factual and legal issues and, in the absence of settlement, would involve lengthy proceedings whose resolution would be uncertain;
- e. Had Plaintiff's Counsel not achieved the Settlement there would remain a significant risk that Plaintiff and the other members of the Settlement Class may have recovered less or nothing from Defendants;
- f. Plaintiff's Counsel devoted over 3,699.55 hours with a lodestar value of approximately \$2,448,271.50, to achieve the Settlement; and
- g. The amount of attorneys' fees awarded from the Settlement Fund are fair and reasonable and consistent with fee awards approved in similarly complex cases within the Seventh Circuit.

6. Lead Plaintiff Daniel Rogers is hereby awarded \$ \_\_\_\_\_ from the Settlement Fund as reimbursement for his reasonable costs and expenses directly related to his representation of the Settlement Class.

7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding any attorneys' fees and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

8. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the Parties and the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the Stipulation and this Order.

9. In the event that the Settlement is terminated or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Settlement.

10. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this 31st day of August, 2022.

A handwritten signature enclosed in an oval. The signature appears to read "JL".

---

The Honorable Jorge L. Alonso  
United States District Judge